# YUROK TRIBE



190 Klamath Boulevard • Post Office Box 1027 • Klamath, CA 95548 Phone: (707) 482-1350 • Fax: (707) 482-1377

December 14, 2009

Gaylon Lee, P.G. Forest Activities Program Manager Division of Water Quality State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95812

Mr. Lee,

These comments are provided by the Yurok Tribe in regards to Resolution 2009–0064, that lays the framework for the State Water Resources Control Board and the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USFS) to collaboratively develop an updated Water Quality Management Plan. Over several years Yurok Tribe staff has worked proactively on water quality recovery by providing sound scientific research and data interpretation to assist the government agencies concerned with programs that impact the river. The Yurok Tribe views State and federal Clean Water Act (CWA) compliance as a key means for assuring the future of the Klamath basin's salmon and the Yurok Tribe's reliance on this vital fish resource.

## THE ESSENTIAL PROBLEM WITH THE SWRCB 'S RESOLUTION NO. 2009-0064

From earliest beginnings 60 years ago, California law has placed responsibility for streamflow quantity decision-making in a Sacramento-based entity (the State Engineer, former State Water Rights Board, today's State Water Resources Control Board – SWRCB) and responsibility for water quality management in the several regional water quality control boards.

Since Congress' specific commitment to the nationwide abatement of non-point sources of water pollution in 1987 there has been a workable sorting out of roles and responsibilities among the SWRCB and the regional boards. Total maximum daily load (TMDL) plans, for example, have been scoped, developed and adopted, including plans for their implementation, at the regional board level. The SWRCB then reviews the regional boards' work products to make sure that they comply with law, improves as necessary and certifies them as complete and enforceable.

Perhaps no regional board in the state has been more intensely involved in the development of complex and urgent TMDLs than the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board

(NCRWQCB), driven, as it has been, by the State's timetable-sensitive responsibilities under the *Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, et al. v. Marcus* Consent Decree. The *PCFFA v. Marcus* case concerns, in the main, the loss of the beneficial use of coldwater fish production, much of it to timber production and related forest management activities, in nearly 20 major North Coast river basins.

The NCRWQCB has been engaged daily for the past 12 years in the assessment of water quality information; the identification of water quality restoration needs; the negotiation and development of TMDL-driven plans for the recovery of water quality, including the recovery of Pacific salmon resources, in the *PCFFA v. Marcus* river basins. Several of these Pacific salmon species are listed as threatened under the State and federal Endangered Species Acts.

A very great deal of the analysis performed, the water quality restoration planned, and the new watershed protection responsibilities negotiated by the NCRWQCB and its staff involve the staffs of the North Coast's National Forests and the extensive lands they manage within the *PCFFA v. Marcus* river basins. This includes, of course, the Klamath River basin within which the member Tribes of the Klamath Basin Tribal Water Quality Work Group – the Yurok, Hoopa Valley, Karuk, Quartz Valley Indian Community and Resighini Rancheria peoples – have lived, and subsisted in large part from the salmon and other vital products of the River, since time immemorial. The Yurok Tribe has worked in close collaboration with the NCRWQCB and Forest Service field staffs during these dozen years of *PCFFA v. Marcus*-driven water quality assessment, restoration planning and negotiation. This work continues, under newly-crafted memoranda of agreement between the NCRWQWG and USFS, and formal consultations and daily working meetings between the USFS and the Tribes. This work – this collaboration – which has been a long time coming, will be destroyed by the transfer of water quality control regulation and administration from the regional board to the SWRCB.

We have reviewed the SWRCB staff's rationale – Resolution 2009-0064's "whereas" clauses - and we find them incorrect in many, many regards and the basis for the proposed shift of responsibility from the field to Sacramento to be, overall, specious.

# ON-THE-GROUND PROBLEMS WITH RESOLUTION 2009-0064 AND WITH MEETING THE INTENT OF THE STATE'S 1981 MAA WITH THE USFS

Kier Associates has reviewed the State Water Resources Control Board Resolution 2009-0064 and the USFS (2000) Water Quality Management for Forest System Lands in California, Best Management Practices and provides comments below. Comments reflect tribal concerns regarding "on the ground" problems with the implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) (USFS 2000) and meeting the intent of previous 1981 State Water Resources Control Board and U.S. Forest Service agreement or following extensions covering the Klamath-Trinity basin.

USFS management in the Klamath-Trinity Basin has impacted Pacific salmon very negatively at a time when the Klamath River ecosystem is acutely stressed due to agricultural and dam impacts (QVIC 2009b). The Yurok Tribe has established a good working relationship with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB) and sees signs of progress in abating non-point source attendant with National Forest management. It appears that the SWRCB would replace

Regional Board staff and try to have fewer staff handle USFS oversight state-wide when increased oversight and enforcement would be more appropriate. The Yurok cannot allow further lax oversight of USFS lands given the potential loss or diminishment of critical salmon and steelhead refugia (U.S. EPA 2003). The Yurok Tribe is a sovereign Nation and should not be considered just another stakeholder. If the SWRCB is to supplant NCRWQCB authority, then the Work Group demands a government to government relationship with the SWRCB and agreements in writing that define specific staff detailed to meet tribal concerns regarding USFS Klamath-Trinity basin management.

#### U.S. FOREST SERVICE KLAMATH-TRINITY BASIN PERFORMANCE MIXED UNDER PRIOR MAA

National Forests within the Klamath-Trinity show highly variable compliance with BMPs and in meeting water quality objectives due to different approaches to watershed management. The Six Rivers National Forest (SRNF) is a model for improving watershed management to maintain and restore conditions favorable to Pacific salmon. SRNF manages Middle Klamath tributaries to foster advancing recovery from past logging damage, the last Lower Klamath Basin refugia in upper Blue Creek is protected as part of their holdings and their Horse Linto Creek restoration project in the Lower Trinity Basin is one of the most successful in the region (Kier Associates 1999). SRNF transportation planning, road erosion control and decommissioning and management of recreational vehicles sets a standard that all California National Forest should meet. The SRNF also actively conducts focused monitoring to discern habitat trends and produces timely publication of results.

Alternatively, the Klamath National Forest has conducted intensive logging on steep unstable terrain, including salvage logging after fires, and has a vast road network that it is reluctant to reduce. As a consequence, KNF experienced 437 miles of stream scour attendant during the January 1997 flood (de la Fuente and Elder 1998) and massive sediment yield is likely to continue without prompt action. Past analyses have shown that multiple crossing failures in rain-on-snow zone were a major problem (de la Fuente and Elder 1998).

KNF (2000) watershed analyses often have appropriate recognition of thresholds of risk for road densities or other watershed conditions; however, road decommissioning is slow and the road network on the forest remains much more extensive than can be maintained. A WQMP must be developed and monitored to determine that the USFS is actively working towards reducing road densities on National Forest lands to reduce risk to refugia. The USFS's extensive road networks are a potential source of major sediment delivery and this should not be handled under a waiver of waste discharge or a MOU invoking BMPs without clear written timelines for road decommissioning and reduction of densities as well as a major reduction in the number of road-stream crossings.

The QVIC (2007b) noted that proposed KNF (2007) grazing management in meadow areas at the headwaters of Shackleford Creek would damage fish and wildlife resources and pose a risk of water pollution in an area of high recreational use. The bank erosion, riparian vegetation decrease, trampling of the stream bed and deposit of cattle waste into Shackleford Creek are inconsistent with the State of California's *Scott River TMDL* (NCRWQCB, 2006) and does not comply with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's *Basin Plan* (NCRWQCB, 2009). The Environmental Analysis (KNF 2005) The Draft EA fails to meet requirements governing the U.S. Forest Service,

including the National Forest Management Act (NFMA), Klamath National Forest (KNF) Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP), and the Aquatic Conservation Strategy (ACS).

## KLAMATH NATIONAL FOREST WATERSHED HEALTH IS DETERIORATING

The Klamath River TMDL (NCRWQCB 2009) clearly defines the need to protect cold water refugia. The zero increase in sediment target for Middle Klamath tributaries will help achieve that objective, if there is sufficient and prompt action, particularly on public lands. QVIC (2009b) cited USFS Region 5 hydrologist Barry Hill (2009) to point out that cumulative effects risk has actually increased on the Klamath National Forest (KNF) in recent years and that there are now 50 watersheds recognized as over cumulative effects thresholds:

"The Klamath National Forest had 45 watersheds above TOC in 2004, based on three separate models. Since 2004, two watersheds on the Klamath NF have gone over the TOC threshold due to timber harvests and 13 have gone over threshold due to wildfires. During the same period, six watersheds that were above TOC fell below threshold due to passive recovery and four watersheds fell below threshold due to road treatments. The current total of watersheds over TOC is therefore 50."

It is obvious that it is not time to lessen oversight of KNF as the trends in watershed health are declining and there is clear lack of compliance under the pre-existing MOU. The Yurok Tribe demands that any state level pre-emption of NCRWCB authority deal specifically with reversing these trends and require monitoring and timely reporting to the public by each National Forest or by USFS Region 5.

# BATTLE CREEK CASE STUDY INDICATES MAJOR PROBLEMS WITH PRIVATE TIMBERLAND HARVEST OUTSIDE THE KLAMATH-TRINITY NEGATIVELY IMPACTING PACIFIC SALMON

The management of USFS Region 5 National Forest lands must have as a primary consideration the control of cumulative effects and damage to aquatic resources and Pacific salmon in watersheds of mixed ownership, particularly where there is significant private industrial timberland ownership (Ligon et al. 1999, Dunne et al. 2001, Collison et al. 2003). Higgins (2009) describes how disturbance by timber harvest on the northwestern California coast has caused a "press disturbance" that has caused coho salmon to drop to such low levels that they may be in an "extinction vortex."

For example, the Battle Creek Watershed Assessment provides SWRCB and USFS staff with an in depth look at Sierra Nevada timber harvest driven cumulative watershed effects problems at a watershed scale that are constraining Pacific salmon recovery. Pool depths reported after the January 1997 storm (Terraqua 2004) are likely insufficient to allow winter run Chinook over-summering and they are the target of a multi-million dollar restoration effort in the Battle Creek basin (Ward and Kier 1999). Kier Associates (2003a, 2009) analysis relies on extensive use of GIS but also data collected at 50 locations throughout the Battle Creek watershed (Terraqua 2004). Data were collected following USFS Aquatic and Riparian Ecosystem Monitoring Protocols (AREMP) (Gallo 2002). Results from Battle Creek locations are referenced using the USFS (Reynolds 2001) Ecosystem Management Decision Support (EMDS) model rating curves based on data analysis from

hundreds of sites, including reference streams throughout the Pacific Northwest.

The Yurok Tribe recommends that both AREMP and EMDS be employed throughout Region 5 as a requirement of any updated MOU (see also Monitoring below). The Yurok Tribe also strongly urges the SWRCB and USFS Region 5 to use all GIS tools and data, such as those provided by Kier Associates (2003, 2009), for on-going programs to control cumulative effects similar to recommendations of Dunne et al. (2001).

# ALTERATION OF WATERSHED HYDROLOGY, GLOBAL WARMING AND RISK OF DAMAGING INCREASED PEAK DISCHARGE

The SWRCB and USFS must begin to factor in rising snow level elevations (Van Kirk and Naman 2008,) and the potential for rain-on-snow events at much higher elevations (Harris et al. 1997). The January 1997 storm exhibited rain-on-snow up to 7,000 feet in the Klamath Mountains (de la Fuente and elder 1998), above 7,000 feet in the Battle Creek watershed (Napper 2001) and to elevations of 11,000 feet elsewhere in the Sierras (Harris et al. 1997). Potential from damaging peak flows due to rain-on-snow events is known to increase with clear cuts and high road densities at susceptible elevations (Harr 1983, Berris and Harr 1987, Heeswijk et al. 1995).

In the nearby Scott River basin Van Kirk and Naman (2008) found that snow level had risen approximately 1,000 feet over the last 50 years as a result of climate change. Consequently, risk of peak flows related to cumulative effects from timber harvest and other land use activities should now factor in high elevation bedrock or naturally sparse vegetation areas that tend to build up snow packs that will now contribute to rain-on-snow driven higher peak flows.

The flow into Trinity Reservoir was higher during January 1997 than in 1964 or 1974 (Figure 4), the previous storms of record. In the event that the rainfall above Trinity Reservoir would have been more prolonged, substantial downstream damage might have resulted because the flow below Lewiston Dam would have had to go from 6,000 to whatever the inflow was into Trinity Reservoir (i.e. >70,000 cfs). Northwestern California change scene detection based on 1994 and 1998 Landsat scenes (Fischer 2003) shows active logging on private timberlands in the rain-on-snow zone above Trinity Reservoir. The widespread change in a short period of time is taking place on private timberlands that can be clearly discerned because of the checker board pattern resulting from past railroad land grants. This type of activity needs attention from the SWRCB, CDF and the USFS because increased peak flows can threaten dams and public safety downstream of reservoirs.

Similar patterns of peak flow events are in evidence for the Sierra Nevada where the January 1997 storm was the highest flow ever recorded. Don Pedro reservoir filled to capacity causing the need to send water over the spillway at maximum capacity, which resulted in major channel scour downstream. It is unknown to what degree extensive clear cuts on private lands in the Sierra Nevada, including over 1 million acres by Sierra Pacific Industries alone, is factoring into increased peak flows. Regardless, the SWRCB and the USFS need to fully include climate change and hydrologic impacts of land management in defining BMPs going forward.

#### SETTING PRUDENT RISK LIMITS FOR TIMBER HARVEST AND ROADS

The Yurok Tribe is concerned about the risk factor from timber harvest and road building and resultant potential for cumulative watershed effects (Ligon et al. 1999, Dunne et al. 2001, Collison et al. 2003) and damage to salmon streams. Thresholds of risk need to be applied across USFS lands in California that set limits for road densities, near stream roads, road-stream crossings and rates of watershed disturbance.

Management needs to be restricted on unstable soil types and steep slopes and the locations of such areas are well known (SNEP 1999) or can be predicted with models (Dietrich et al. 1998, Kier Associates 2005). Comments provided by Tribes residing in the Klamath Basin regarding the Klamath TMDL (QVIC 2006, 2008, Yurok Tribe 2008, Karuk Tribe 2008) provide greater detail on the levels of prudent risk for watershed management. Decomposed granitic soils in the Klamath Mountains need to be recognized for their erodibility and any public or private land management restricted. The Battle Creek case study (Kier Associates 2003, 2009) points out similar problems with logging on decomposed rhyolitic soils on private lands, although management on nearby USFS lands with such terrain is restricted (Armentrout et al. 1999).

# MONITORING, TIMELY REPORTING AND DATA SHARING

The Yurok Tribe expects the SWRCB to standardize the monitoring techniques to insure understanding of relevance to Pacific salmon recovery (Kier Associates and NMFS 2008), such as the AREMP protocols (Gallo 2002) or other standard scientifically recognized techniques (Kier Associates and NMFS 2008). In stream water quality must be monitored to determine if water quality standards are being met and BMPs are effective. The Yurok Tribe is requesting that the reference values listed in the *Updated Guide to Reference Values used in the Southern Oregon / Northern California Coho Salmon Recovery Conservation Action Planning (CAP) Workbook* (Kier Associates and NMFS 2008) be adopted because it provides reference levels for aquatic habitat data with regard to suitability for salmonids. The shallow landslide stability (SHALSTAB) model (Dietrich et al. 1998) based on 10 meter DEMs also needs to be employed to screen risk of all slope disturbance in steep areas, similar to that analysis provided by Kier Associates (2005) for the Westside Scott River. SHALSTAB would be particularly useful in understanding prioritization of road decommissioning. The SWRCB-USFS MOU needs well defined study designs and requirements for trend monitoring and timely reporting, whether it is negotiated and overseen by state-wide or Regional Board staff.

Monitoring results of damage in the lower Scott River and Middle Klamath tributaries and their subsequent recovery has not been forthcoming from KNF, despite more than a decade passing since the flood event. The Yurok Tribe recognizes the importance of cold water refugia at the mouths of Middle Klamath River tributaries (Belchik 1999, 2004, Deas et al. 2005) and in the Scott River (QVIC 2009b). The Yurok Tribe is concerned that the USFS is reluctant to share data to allows the Tribes and the public to gauge aquatic habitat trends. If SWRCB staff takes over for Regional Board staff, then the Yurok Tribe will expect that these same standards for reporting will be required at the state-wide level. The Yurok Tribe demands transparency and data provision, including raw data (Collison et al. 2003), so that trend monitoring can be conducted and adaptive management can be carried out (Walters 1997, Walters and Hilborn 1978; Walters and Holling 1990, NAS 2004).

# ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT USEFUL, BUT ENFORCEMENT NEEDED TO PROMOTE ACTION

The National Research Council (2004), in recommending that adaptive management be used to recover the endangered fishes of the Klamath basin, described it as follows:

"Adaptive management is a formal, systematic, and rigorous program of learning from the outcomes of management actions, accommodating change, and improving management (Holling 1978). Its primary purpose is to establish a continuous, iterative process for increasing the probability that a plan for environmental restoration will be successful. In practice, adaptive management uses conceptual and numerical models and the scientific method to develop and test management options."

As a result of the Northwest Forest Plan (FEMAT 1993), National Forests throughout the region have been collecting aquatic habitat data using AREMP (Gallo. 2002) methods, which allows analysis similar to that provided for Battle Creek (Kier Associates 2003, 2009). Using other standard scientific methods of data collection in conjunction with the Conservation Action Planning (CAP) database is another option (Kier Associates and NMFS 2008). The problem up to now is that when aquatic indicators are trending negatively, required corrective action under adaptive management has not been taken. Any new MOU must define what steps the SWRCB will take to enforce water quality standards and what length of time the USFS will have to respond. Previous agreements have not lead to sufficient enforcement and improvement will be needed, if adaptive management is to be actually practiced.

Given the onset of global warming (Van Kirk and Naman 2008) and the high level of existing cumulative effects documented above, the typical bureaucratic response of deferring action is inappropriate. The NAS (2004) characterized such an approach as follows:

"In the deferred-action approach, management methods are not changed until ecosystems are fully understood (Walters and Hillborn 1978, Walters and Holling 1990, Wilhere 2002). This approach is cautious but has two notable drawbacks: deferral of management changes may magnify losses, and knowledge acquired by deferred action may reveal little about the response of ecosystems to changes in management. Stakeholder groups or agencies that are opposed to changes in management often are strong proponents of deferred action."

If action continues to be deferred on reducing USFS flood risk, losses will be magnified and Pacific salmon recovery will be significantly impeded.

## FIRE RISK ASSUMPTIONS NEED TO BE QUESTIONED

Fire frequency is increasing and high intensity fires can cause major watershed damage, however, there are false assumptions that construe large, old trees to be a major fire risk. In fact, even-aged stands of previously managed forests tend to burn hotter and can cause stand replacing fires in adjacent old-growth stands. Fuels in old growth forests may be high, but moisture levels are as well and these can moderate fire risk. Consequently, forest health treatments such as thinning young forests from below may be some of the more effective measures for lessening fire risk in the long term.

There is a serious concern about backfires in the Klamath-Trinity set by fire fighting crews often lead by USFS staff from other states. While naturally caused fire usually starts on ridges and smolders downhill, back fires are often set at the bottom of the hill and create extremely high intensity fire that gains momentum as is burns uphill. Human caused back fire effects not only negatively impact potential merchantable timber and forest health, they also elevate risk of erosion and sediment pollution to streams.

## CLEAN WATER ACT, BASIN PLAN AND TMDL COMPLIANCE

The Yurok Tribe has been working on multiple TMDLs in the Klamath-Trinity basin with the NCRWQCB. The NCRWQCB has included implementation plans in recently developed TMDL documents and integrated them into the North Coast Basin Plan (NCRWQCB 2009). The origin of the TMDL Consent Decree is a lawsuit filed in 1998 after a decade of inaction on cleaning up impaired waterbodies that had been listed at the time of the inception of the California 303d list. Now we have TMDL plans and still no action 20 years later. Regardless of whether it is State Board or NCRWQCB staff, it is time that tougher action was taken to force USFS to move immediately to abate water pollution under the CWA through the TMDL.

Flow depletion that leads to the dewatering of the mainstem Scott River and the failure to meet adjudicated levels in the Scott River canyon (USFS' land management area) as required under the SWRCB (1980) adjudication (Figure 9) are annually dismissed on the basis that the USFS water right is a junior right. Table 1 shows the minimum water flow levels needed to protect fish per the USFS' adjudication of Scott River flows at the Scott River canyon. SWRCB are remiss in their public trust responsibilities for not assisting USFS in securing flows sufficient to maintain coldwater fish in the Scott River. Water quality problems associated with flow depletion on USFS lands in the lower Scott are documented in the *Quartz Valley Indian Reservation Water Quality Monitoring and Assessments Reports* from 2007 and 2008 (Bowman 2008 and 2009). Action should be taken to address water quality problems associated with flow depletions. The SWRCB's regulation of USFS lands need to look broader at flow needs in order to meet water quality objectives statewide.

Table 1. Scott River Adjudication instream flow allotment for U.S. Forest Service needs for instream flow in Scott River canyon (CDWR, 1980 as cited in Kier Assoc., 1991).

Period	Flow Requirement in Cubic Feet per
	Second
November – March	200 cfs
April - June 15	150 cfs
June 16 - June 30	100 cfs
July 1 - July 15	60 cfs
July 16 - July 31	40 cfs
August – September	30 cfs
October	40 cfs

#### URGENCY FOR USFS ACTION NEEDED GIVEN PACIFIC DECADAL OSCILLATION CYCLE

The USFS in California has thousands of miles of roads and thousands of road-stream crossings that need to be decommissioned and removed before they fail and cause additional catastrophic sediment yield. Collison et al. (2003) point out that Pacific salmon populations in northern California fluctuate with climatic and oceanic cycles of productivity known as the Pacific decadal oscillation (PDO) cycle (Hare, 1998, Hare et al., 1999).

Positive ocean cycles coincide with wet on-land conditions for a period of about 25 years, then alternate with ocean conditions prone to warm El Nino events and periods of lesser rainfall. Positive PDO conditions prevailed from 1950-1975 and negative ocean and dry on-land conditions prevailed between 1975-1995. Despite currently being in the productive ocean and wet climatic phase our coho salmon populations are not rebounding (Higgins 2009) and Chinook salmon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin basin are at their lowest ebb ever (Lindley et al. 2009).

Nonetheless, Collison et al (2003) point out that the current positive PDO conditions represent the best chance for us to restore Pacific salmon populations and that if fresh water habitat has not improved by the change back to poor ocean productivity and dry climate sometime from 2015-2025, then many stocks may go extinct. Therefore, the SWRCB needs to prompt speedy USFS action to reducing erosion risk to salmon streams.

The Yurok Tribe looks forward to working with you on this important issue. The point of contact at the Yurok Tribe regarding these matters is Ken Fetcho. Please contact him at (707) 954-1523 or at <a href="mailto:kfetcho@yuroktribe.nsn.us">kfetcho@yuroktribe.nsn.us</a> if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Sloan

Kathly Slow

Director

Yurok Tribe Environmental Program

#### REFERENCES

Armentrout, S., H. Brown, S. Chappell, M. Everett-Brown, J. Fites, J. Forbes, M. McFarland, J. Riley, K. Roby, A. Villalovos, R. Walden, D. Watts, and M.R. Williams, 1998. Watershed Analysis for Mill, Deer, and Antelope Creeks. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Lassen National Forest. Almanor Ranger District. Chester, CA. 299 pp.

Belchik, M. 1997. Summer locations and salmonid use of cool water areas in the Klamath River - Iron Gate Dam to Seiad Creek 1996. Yurok Tribal Fisheries Program. Klamath, CA. 15 pp.

Belchik, M. 2004. Use of Thermal Refugial Areas on the Klamath River by Juvenile Salmonids; Summer 1998. Performed under contract to the California Department of Fish and Game (#8-FG-20-17510). Yurok Tribe Fisheries Department, Klamath, CA. 36 p.

Berris, S. N. and Harr, R. D., 1987. Comparative snow accumulation and melt during rainfall in forested and clear-cut plots in the western Cascades of Oregon: Water Resources Research. Y. 23, p. 135-142.

California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). 2009. Item 7 on Board Agenda proposing Resolution on Timber Harvest, Grazing and Fire Suppression Oversight on National Forest System Lands. Agenda of August 4, 2009.

Collison, A., W. Emmingham, F. Everest, W. Hanneberg, R. Martston, D. Tarboton, R. Twiss. 2003. Phase II Report: Independent Scientific Review Panel on Sediment Impairment and Effects on Beneficial Uses of the Elk River and Stitz, Bear, Jordan and Freshwater Creeks. Independent Science Review Panel performed analysis on retainer to the North Coast Regional water Quality Control Board, Santa Rosa, CA..

Deas, M.J., S.K. Tanaka and C.K. Vaughn. 2005. Klamath River Thermal Refugia Study: Flow and Temperature Characterization Summer 2004. Performed in cooperation with the Karuk Natural Resources Department and the Yurok Fisheries Department under contract with the U.S. BOR. 152 p.

de la Fuente, J. and D. Elder. 1998. The Flood of 1997 Klamath National Forest -Phase I Final Report. November 24, 1998. USDA Forest Service, Klamath National Forest, Yreka, CA.

Dietrich, W. E., R. Real de Asua, J. Coyle, B. Orr, and M. Trso. 1998. A validation study of the

shallow slope stability model, SHALSTAB, in forested lands of Northern California. Stillwater Ecosystem, Watershed & Riverine Sciences. Berkeley, CA. 59 pp.

Dunne, T., J. Agee, S. Beissinger, W. Dietrich, D. Gray, M. Power, V. Resh, and K. Rodrigues. 2001. A scientific basis for the prediction of cumulative watershed effects. The University of California Committee on Cumulative Watershed Effects. University of California Wildland Resource Center Report No. 46. June 2001. 107 pp.

FEMAT [Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team]. 1993. Forest Ecosystem Management: an ecological, economic and social assessment. Report of the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team. 1993-793-071. U.S. Govt. Printing Office.

Fischer, C. 2003. Monitoring Land Cover Changes in California, North Coast Project Area (1994-1998). California Department of Forestry FRAP and USFS Spatial Analysis Lab, Sacramento, CA.

Gallo, K. 2002. Field protocols: Aquatic and Riparian Effectiveness Monitoring Program for the Northwest Forest Plan: Version 1.0. U.S. Forest Service, Corvallis, OR. 54 pp.

Grant, G. 1988. The RAPID technique: a new method for evaluating downstream effects of forest practices on riparian zones. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-220. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Pacific Northwest Research Station. Portland, OR. 36p

Hare, S. 1998. The Pacific Decadal Oscillation. College of Ocean and Fishery Science, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. Fisheries Forum Vol. 6(1). p. 5, 10.

Hare, S. R.; Mantua, N. J.; Francis, R. C. 1999. Inverse production regimes: Alaska and the west coast Pacific salmon. Fisheries, Vol. 24 (1): 6-14.

Harr, R. D., 1983, Potential for augmenting water yield through forest practices in western Washington and western Oregon: Water Resources Bulletin, v. 19, p. 383-393.

Harris, R, T. Lisle, and R. Ziemer. 1997. Aftermath of the 1997 Flood: Summary of a Workshop. Workshop April 8-9, 1997 at Interagency Watershed Analysis Center McKinleyville, CA. SRNF, Eureka, CA.

Heeswijk, M., J. S. Kimball, and D. Marks. 1995. Simulation of water available for runoff in clear-cut forest openings during rain-on-snow events in the western Cascade Range of Oregon and Washington. US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 95-4219.

Higgins, P.T. 2009. Comments on Proposed Threatened and Impaired Watershed Rules. Prepared for the Center for Biodiversity, San Francisco, CA, by Patrick Higgins, Consulting Fisheries Biologist, Arcata, CA. 35 p.

Hill, B. 2009. Watersheds within the jurisdiction of the NCWQCB that are "over

threshold' for cumulative watershed impacts. Memo to Felice Pace. Spring 2009. USFS Region 5 Hydrologist, Vallejo, CA. 4 p.

Holling, C.S., ed. 1978. Adaptive Environmental Assessment and Management. New York: Wiley.

Johnson, G.E. and J.D. Alexander. 1993. Potential Impacts of Cattle Grazing on Landbirds of Montane Riparian Habitat (A Literature Review). Klamath Bird Observatory, Ashland, OR.

Jones, J.A. and G.E. Grant. 1996. Peak flow response to clear-cutting and roads in small and large basins, Western Cascades, Oregon. Water Resources Research, April 1996. Vol. 32, No. 4, Pages 959-974.

Karuk Tribe. 2009. Re: Comments on Public Review Draft and Staff Report for the Klamath River Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Action Plan Addressing Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, Nutrient, and Microcystin Impairments in California. Submitted by Earl Crosby, Karuk Tribe, Orleans, CA, 37pp.

Kier Associates. 1991. Long Range Plan for the Klamath River Basin Conservation Area Fishery Restoration Program. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Klamath River Fishery Resource Office. Yreka, CA. 403 pp.

Kier Associates. 1999. Mid-term evaluation of the Klamath River Basin Fisheries Restoration Program. Sausalito, CA. Prepared for the Klamath River Basin Fisheries Task Force. 303 pp.

Kier Associates. 2005. Lower West Side Scott Shallow Landslide Hazard Maps. Performed under contract to the Quartz Valley Indian Reservation by Dr. Jan Derksen of Kier Associates on behalf of the Klamath Basin Water Quality Work Group. September 18, 2005. Kier Assoc., Sausalito, CA. 11 p.

Kier Associates and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2008. Updated Guide to Reference Values used in the Southern Oregon / Northern California Coho Salmon Recovery Conservation Action Planning (CAP) Workbook. Kier Associates, Blue Lake, CA and National Marine Fisheries Service, Arcata, CA. 31 pp.

Klamath National Forest. 2007. Draft Kidder Creek and Shackleford Allotment Livestock Grazing Management Environmental Assessment. July, 2007. Scott River Ranger District, Forth Jones, CA. 65 p.

LaVen, R and A. Lehre. 1977. The effects of timber harvest and roads on sediment yield and channel morphology in the Fox Planning Unit, Six Rivers National Forest, Eureka, CA.

Levien, L., C. Fischer, P. Roffers, B. Maurizi, and J. Suero. 2002. Monitoring Land Cover Changes in California Northeastern California Project Area. Cooperative venture of USDA Forest Service

Spatial Analysis Lab and California Department of Forestry Fire and Resource Assessment Program, Sacramento, CA. 171 pp.

Ligon, F., A. Rich, G. Rynearson, D. Thornburgh, and W. Trush. 1999. Report of the Scientific Review Panel on California Forest Practice Rules and salmonid habitat. Prepared for the Resources Agency of California and the National Marine Fisheries Service. Sacramento, CA. 181 pp. http://www.krisweb.com/biblio/cal\_nmfs\_ligonetal\_1999\_srprept.pdf

National Academy of Science (NAS). 2004. Endangered and threatened fishes in the Klamath River basin: causes of decline and strategies for recovery. Committee on endangered and threatened fishes in the Klamath River Basin, Board of Environmental Toxicology, Division on Earth and Life Studies, Washington D.C. 424 pp.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 1995. Endangered Species Act Section 7 Biological Opinion on the Land and Resource Management Plans for the Boise, Challis, Nez Perce, Payette, Salmon, Sawtooth, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests. Memo from Roland Schmitten (NMFS) to USFS Regional Directors re: Upper Columbia River Basin. March 1, 1995. NMFS Pacific Northwest Region, Seattle, WA. 138 p.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 1996. Coastal Salmon Conservation: Working Guidance for Comprehensive Salmon Restoration Initiatives on the Pacific Coast. 5 pp.

North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB). 2006. Action Plan for the Scott River Watershed Sediment and Temperature Total Maximum Daily Loads. North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Rosa, CA.

North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (NCRWQCB). 2009. Water Quality Control Plan for the North Coast Region. Staff report adopted by the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board in January 2009. Santa Rosa, CA. 124 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Reservation. 2005. Comments on the Scott River Watershed Sediment and Temperature TMDL. QVIR, Fort Jones, CA.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2006a. Scoping Comments on Shasta River Basin Agricultural Coho Salmon Incidental Take Permit. Submitted to CDFG, Region 1 by QVIR. ITP filed with CDFG. 20 p

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2006b. Scoping Comments on Scott River Basin Agricultural Coho Salmon Incidental Take Permit. Submitted to CDFG, Region 1 by QVIR. ITP filed with CDFG. 23 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2006c. Review of public draft Shasta River Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen TMDLs. Quartz Valley Indian Reservation, Ft. Jones, CA. 43 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2006d. Comments Concerning the Klamath River TMDL

Approach and Progress to Date. Memo to the U.S. EPA and North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board of August 15, 2006. Quartz Valley Indian Reservation, Fort Jones, CA. 35 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2007. Comments on Klamath River Nutrient, Dissolved Oxygen, and Temperature TMDL Implementation Plan Workplan Outline for CA (NCRWQCB, 2007). Quartz Valley Indian Community, Fort Jones, CA. 30 pp.

Quartz Valley Indian Reservation. 2007b. Comments on the Klamath National Forest's Draft Kidder Creek and Shackleford Allotments Livestock Grazing Management Environmental Assessment. Letter to Peg Boland, KNF Supervisor. QVIC, Ft. Jones, CA. 20 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2008a. Comments on Draft Shasta River Basin Agricultural Coho Salmon Incidental Take Permit. Submitted to CDFG, Region 1 by QVIR. ITP filed with CDFG. 13 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2008b. Comments on Draft Scott River Basin Agricultural Coho Salmon Incidental Take Permit. Submitted to CDFG, Region 1 by QVIR. ITP filed with CDFG. 29 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2009. Re: Comments on Public Review Draft and Staff Report for the Klamath River Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Action Plan Addressing Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, Nutrient, and Microcystin Impairments in California. Submitted by Crystal Bowman. QVIR, Ft. Jones, CA. 39 p.

Quartz Valley Indian Community. 2009a. Scott River Adult Steelhead and Lamprey Dive Summary 2007-2009. Conducted in cooperation with Karuk DNR. QVIR, Ft. Jones, CA. 39 p.

Reeves, G.H., F.H. Everest and J.R. Sedell. 1993. Diversity of Juvenile Anadromous Salmonid Assemblages in Coastal Oregon Basins with Different Levels of Timber Harvest. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. Vol 122, No. 3. May 1993.

Reeves, G.H., L.E.Benda, K.M.Burnett, P.A.Bisson, and J.R. Sedell. 1995. A Disturbance-Based Ecosystem Approach to Maintaining and Restoring Freshwater Habitats of Evolutionarily Significant Units of Anadromous Salmonids in the Pacific Northwest. American Fisheries Society Symposium 17:334-349, 1995.

Reynolds, Keith M. 2001. Fuzzy logic knowledge bases in integrated landscape assessment: examples and possibilities. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW- GTR-521. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 24 p

Rieman, B., D. Lee, J. McIntyre, K. Overton, and R. Thurow 1993. Consideration of Extinction Risks for Salmonids. As FHR Currents # 14. US Forest Service, Region 5. Eureka, CA. 12 pp.

Terraqua, Inc. 2004. Battle Creek Watershed Assessment: Characterization of stream conditions and an investigation of sediment source factors in 2001 and 2002. Performed under contract to the Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy, Manton, CA. Funds from the Anadromous Fisheries

Restoration Program and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under Agreement DCN: 11330-1-J113.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2003. EPA Region 10 Guidance for Pacific Northwest State and Tribal Water Quality Standards. Region 10, Seattle, WA. EPA 910-B-03-002. 49pp. Accessed June 23, 2004. Available at: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/temperature.htm">http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/temperature.htm</a>

U.S. Forest Service, Six Rivers National Forest (SRNF). 2003a. Six Rivers National Forest Roads Analysis, Version 1.0. USFS SRNF, Eureka, CA. 120 pp.

U.S. Forest Service, Six Rivers National Forest (SRNF). 2003b. Lower-Middle Klamath Watershed Analysis. Prepared by USFS, Pacific Southwest Region, Six River National Forest, Orleans Ranger District. Eureka, CA. 389 pp.

Van Kirk, R. and S. Naman. 2008. Relative effects of Climate and Water Use on Baseflow Trends in the Lower Klamath Basin. Journal of American Water Resources Association. August 2008. V 44, No. 4, 1034-1052.

Voight, H. N. and D. B. Gale. 1998. Distribution of fish species in tributaries of the lower Klamath river: An interim report, FY 1996. Technical Report No. 3. Yurok Tribal Fisheries Program, Habitat Assessment and Biological Monitoring Division. Klamath, CA. 80 pp.

Walters, C. 1997. Challenges in adaptive management of riparian and coastal ecosystems. Conservation Ecology [online] 1(2):1. Available from the Internet. URL: <a href="http://www.consecol.org/vol1/iss2/art1/">http://www.consecol.org/vol1/iss2/art1/</a>

Walters, C.J., and R. Hilborn. 1978. Ecological optimization and adaptive management. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 8:157-188.

Walters, C.J., and C.S. Holling. 1990. Large-scale management experiments and learning by doing. Ecology 71(6):2060-2068. Warner, R.R. 1988. Traditionally of mating site preferences in a coral reef fish. Nature (Lond.) 335:719-721.

Welsh, H.H., A.J. Lind, and D.L. Waters. 1991. Monitoring Frogs and Toads on Region 5 National Forests. FHR Currents # 4. US Forest Service, Region 5. Eureka, CA. 12 pp.

Welsh, Dr. Hartwell. Personal Communication. Herpetologist, U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Research Station, Redwood Sciences Laboratory, Arcata, CA.

Wilhere, G.F. 2002. Adaptive management in habitat conservation plans. Conserv. Biol. 16(l):20-29.

Yurok Tribe Environmental Program. 2009. Comments on Public Review Draft of Staff Report for the Klamath River Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Action Plan. Submitted by Ken Fetcho, YTEP, Klamath, CA. 37 pp.

e-copy: